



## Original Article

# Marginal sinus placenta previa is a different entity in placenta previa: A retrospective study using magnetic resonance imaging

Hiroki Ishibashi <sup>a</sup>, Morikazu Miyamoto <sup>a,\*</sup>, Hiroaki Soyama <sup>a</sup>, Hiroshi Shinmoto <sup>b</sup>, Wakana Murakami <sup>b</sup>, Masaya Nakatsuka <sup>a</sup>, Takahiro Natsuyama <sup>a</sup>, Masashi Takano <sup>a</sup>, Masashi Yoshida <sup>a</sup>, Kenichi Furuya <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National Defense Medical College Hospital, Saitama, Tokorozawa, 359-8513, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Radiology, National Defense Medical College Hospital, Saitama, Tokorozawa, 359-8513, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Accepted 28 November 2017

## Keywords:

Placenta previa

Magnetic resonance imaging

Marginal sinus placenta previa

Hemorrhage

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The current definition of placenta previa does not include whether the placental edge is pararenchyma or marginal sinus defined as placenta previa in which the placental marginal sinus just reached the internal os and/or in which the placental parenchyma might be located at > 2 cm from internal os.

**Materials and Methods:** Cases with placenta previa were identified through the review of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) from among 210 cases at our institution between 2007 and 2016. The clinical outcomes of patients with marginal sinus placenta previa (Group A) were compared with patients with low-lying placenta and marginal placenta (Group B) and patients with partial placenta and total placenta previa (Group C), respectively. This study was a retrospective analysis.

**Results:** Twenty-seven (12.7%) cases were included in Group A. The patients in Group B and Group C were 72 and 108 cases, respectively. First, Group A more frequently underwent emergency cesarean section than Group B ( $p = 0.02$ ). There was no statistical significance with other maternal history, post- or pre-operative hemorrhage, and/or additional treatment for hemorrhage between the two groups. Additionally, Group A delivered at a later gestational age ( $p < 0.01$ ); were less frequently complicated with antenatal bleeding ( $p < 0.01$ ); underwent emergency cesarean section ( $p < 0.01$ ), allogenic blood transfusion ( $p < 0.01$ ), and uterine artery embolization ( $p < 0.01$ ) for postpartum hemorrhage less often; and had less perioperative hemorrhage ( $p < 0.01$ ) than Group C.

**Conclusions:** Marginal sinus placenta previa may be a mild type of placenta previa. This new classification could be useful in the management of placenta previa.

© 2018 Taiwan Association of Obstetrics & Gynecology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

It is well known that placenta previa is the main cause of maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity because of massive hemorrhage during delivery [1,2]. Placenta previa is commonly diagnosed using ultrasound sonography or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the third trimester, often between 28 and 32 weeks [3,4]. Placenta previa is divided into four categories according to the distance from placental edge to internal os: low-lying placenta, marginal placenta, partial placenta, and total placenta previa [1]. This classification system is useful in tailoring

the management of cases of placenta previa. For example, cases of total or partial placenta previa are associated with higher morbidity than those of marginal placenta previa or low-lying placenta [5]. In addition, patients with low-lying placenta can be considered as subjects to try the vaginal labor [6]. Thus, the accurate diagnosis of the type of placenta previa is important, as it enables physicians to decide on the best course of management of placenta previa.

The current definition of placenta previa was determined using the distance from placental edge to internal os, but it does not contain definitive rules whether the placental edge was the placenta parenchyma or marginal sinus. Recently, marginal sinus placenta previa was defined as one type of placenta previa. The definition of marginal sinus placenta previa was when the placental marginal sinus just reaches the internal os and when the placental parenchyma might be > 2 cm from the internal os [7]. However, the

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +81 4 2996 5213.

E-mail address: [morikazu1118@hotmail.co.jp](mailto:morikazu1118@hotmail.co.jp) (M. Miyamoto).

clinical significance of marginal sinus placenta previa has not been reported.

Herein, the purpose of this study was to investigate the clinical significance of marginal sinus placenta previa.

## Material and methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Defense Medical College, Tokorozawa, Japan.

Patients with singleton pregnancies who underwent cesarean section due to placenta previa at our institution between January 2007 and December 2016 were identified for inclusion in this study. Patients with multiple pregnancies and those who did not undergo an MRI scan during pregnancy were excluded.

Maternal history and intraoperative information were obtained from patient medical charts and operative records. In all cases, MRI for the diagnosis of placenta previa was performed after 30 weeks of gestation. At our institution, elective cesarean section was performed up until the end of 37 gestational weeks in accordance with the Guidelines for Obstetrical Practice in Japan, which recommend cesarean section be performed in cases of placenta previa up until the end of 37 gestational weeks [8]. However, if persistent antenatal bleeding with over 100 ml blood loss or uncontrollable uterine contractions occurred during labor, an emergency cesarean section was performed. Antenatal bleeding was defined as painless genital bleeding from the placenta with <100 ml blood loss. The amount of intraoperative hemorrhage was measured from the time of the skin incision to the time of scar closure, based on suction count and towel weight. Postpartum hemorrhage was defined as the amount of bleeding from the end of the cesarean section procedure to 24 h after the surgery. Total hemorrhage was defined as the amount of intraoperative hemorrhage and postoperative hemorrhage. If the amount of blood loss was increased, hemostatic procedures (e.g., intrauterine balloon tamponade, filling of vaginal gauze, suture of placenta peeling surface) were performed at the surgeon's discretion. The cases in this study that underwent allogenic blood transfusion included patients who received blood transfusion at antenatal, intraoperative, and postoperative times. Placental adhesion was diagnosed with pathological findings.

The methods of re-evaluation of MRI findings were as follows: first, placenta previa was re-reviewed and classified into four categories, according to previous reports: low-lying placenta, marginal placenta, partial placenta, and total placenta previa [1,9]. Second, among all cases, marginal sinus placenta previa was identified. The definition of marginal sinus placenta previa was that placental marginal sinus just reached the internal cervical os, and that placental parenchyma might be > 2 cm from the internal cervical os (Fig. 1) [7]. Third, all cases were classified into five categories: marginal sinus placenta previa, low-lying placenta, marginal placenta, marginal sinus placenta, partial placenta and total placenta previa. Finally, all cases were categorized into three patient groups: Group A defined as those with marginal sinus placenta previa; Group B defined as those with partial and total placenta previa; and Group C defined as those with low-lying placenta and marginal placenta previa, respectively.

Two radiologists reevaluated pelvic MRI scans for all patients. Pelvic MRI was performed for all cases at 1.5 Tesla (Ingenia, Philips Healthcare, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). They were imaged in the supine position using a 32-channel phased-array coil. MRI evaluation of the placenta without the use of gadolinium was performed in all cases to diagnose the accurate placental location, type of previa, and placental adhesion. This is considered by many to be the approach most suitable for gravida cases, since the European Medicines Agency warns against the use of gadolinium in such imaging studies before the first trimester [10].

The maternal pelvis was scanned using the following protocol:

(1) Axial, sagittal, and coronal respiratory-triggered single-shot fast spin echo sequence (TR/TE = 1500/100 ms, 6 mm slice thickness with 1 mm gap, 304 × 276 (zero-filled interpolation [ZIP] 512) matrices).

(2) Sagittal T1-weighted fast-spin echo (FSE) sequence: TR/TE = 253/4.6 ms, 6 mm slice thickness with 1 mm gap, 240 × 214 (ZIP 352) matrices.

The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Defense Medical College, Tokorozawa, Japan.

Statistical analysis was performed using JMP 11.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NS, USA). The chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test, and Mann–Whitney U test were used to evaluate the clinical significance of clinical factors. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .




Fig. 1. Representative MRI images of marginal sinus placenta previa.

**Table 1**

The comparison of characteristics between the group with marginal sinus placenta previa (Group A) and the group with low-lying placenta and marginal placenta previa (Group B) or the group with partial and total placenta previa (Group C).

| Variables   | Group A<br>(n = 27) | Group B<br>(n = 75) | Group C<br>(n = 108) | p-value <sup>a</sup> | p-value <sup>b</sup> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Maternal history</b>                                       |                     |                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Maternal age (y), mean ± SD                                   | 34.5 ± 3.9          | 33.9 ± 4.9          | 33.9 ± 4.6           | 0.63                 | 0.73                 |
| Gestational age (w), mean ± SD                                | 37.1 ± 0.4          | 37.1 ± 1.1          | 36.6 ± 1.3           | 0.25                 | <0.01                |
| Repeat cesarean section, number (%)                           | 2 (7.4)             | 6 (8.0)             | 21 (19.4)            | 0.99                 | 0.16                 |
| <b>Treatment and complications associated with obstetrics</b> |                     |                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Tocolytic agent use, number (%)                               | 14 (51.9)           | 36 (48.0)           | 64 (59.2)            | 0.82                 | 0.52                 |
| Placental adhesion, number (%)                                | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (1.3)             | 4 (3.7)              | 0.99                 | 0.58                 |
| Placenta on anterior wall, number (%)                         | 1 (3.7)             | 11 (14.5)           | 15 (13.9)            | 0.17                 | 0.19                 |
| Antenatal bleeding, number (%)                                | 3 (11.1)            | 15 (20.0)           | 51 (47.2)            | 0.39                 | <0.01                |
| Emergency cesarean section, number (%)                        | 0 (0.0)             | 13 (17.3)           | 28 (25.9)            | 0.02                 | <0.01                |
| <b>Hemorrhage associated caesarean section</b>                |                     |                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Intraoperative hemorrhage (ml), mean ± SD                     | 1141.8 ± 568.4      | 1218.5 ± 618.0      | 1506.0 ± 918.7       | 0.41                 | 0.03                 |
| Postpartum hemorrhage (ml), mean ± SD                         | 119.9 ± 133.9       | 234.3 ± 459.2       | 503.4 ± 894.2        | 0.17                 | <0.01                |
| Total hemorrhage (ml), mean ± SD                              | 1261.7 ± 645.6      | 1452.6 ± 903.7      | 2009.4 ± 1568.2      | 0.21                 | <0.01                |
| <b>Additional treatment for postpartum hemorrhage</b>         |                     |                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Intrauterine balloon tamponade, number (%)                    | 10 (37.0)           | 22 (29.3)           | 18 (16.7)            | 0.48                 | 0.03                 |
| Filling of vaginal gauze, number (%)                          | 10 (37.0)           | 30 (40.0)           | 58 (53.7)            | 0.82                 | 0.14                 |
| Suture of placenta peeling surface, number (%)                | 1 (3.7)             | 2 (2.7)             | 7 (6.5)              | 0.99                 | 0.99                 |
| Allogenic blood transfusion, number (%)                       | 0 (0.0)             | 5 (6.7)             | 21 (19.4)            | 0.32                 | <0.01                |
| Uterine artery embolization, number (%)                       | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (1.3)             | 21 (19.4)            | 0.99                 | <0.01                |
| Total abdominal hysterectomy, number (%)                      | 0 (0.0)             | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (0.9)              | 0.99                 | 0.99                 |

<sup>a</sup> The p-value was compared with the Group A and the Group B.

<sup>b</sup> The p-value was compared with the Group A and the Group B.

## Results

### Study population

During the study period, 222 cases with placenta previa were identified. Among them, 12 (5.4%) cases were excluded for the following reasons: one case was a twin pregnancy, and 11 cases did not undergo MRI during pregnancy. Finally, 210 cases (94.6%) were included in the final count. The clinical characteristics of placenta previa are presented in Table 1. Based on the re-evaluation of MRI, 29 cases had low-lying placenta, 69 had marginal placenta previa, 32 had partial placenta previa, and 80 had placenta previa. Of all cases, 27 (12.9%) were identified in the group with marginal sinus placenta previa. Before re-evaluation, eight cases (29.6%) were diagnosed as low-lying placenta, 15 cases (55.6%) were diagnosed as marginal placenta previa, four cases (14.8%) were diagnosed as partial placenta previa, and no cases were diagnosed as total placenta previa.

### The comparison between the group A and the group B

The characteristics seen between the Group A and the Group B are listed in Table 1. Between both groups, patients with low-lying placenta and marginal placenta were more frequently observed as having notable characteristics than patients with marginal sinus placenta previa ( $p = 0.02$ ). However, there were no statistical significances in terms of maternal history, treatment and complications associated with obstetrics, hemorrhage associated with caesarean section, and/or the administration of additional treatment for postpartum hemorrhage between the two groups.

### The comparison between the group A and the group B

The characteristics of the Group A and the Group B are also shown in Table 1. The Group A delivered at a later gestational age ( $p < 0.01$ ) and less frequently experienced antenatal bleeding ( $p < 0.01$ ) as compared with the Group B. Additionally, the Group A underwent emergency cesarean section less frequently ( $p < 0.01$ ) and had less intraoperative ( $p < 0.01$ ), postpartum ( $p < 0.01$ ), and

total hemorrhage ( $p < 0.01$ ). Furthermore, regarding postpartum hemorrhage, the group with marginal sinus placenta previa did not receive allogenic blood transfusion ( $p < 0.01$ ) or uterine artery embolization ( $p < 0.01$ ), and less frequently received intrauterine balloon tamponade ( $p = 0.03$ ) as compared with the Group B.

## Discussion

Placenta previa is defined as the abnormal implantation of the placenta into the lower uterine segment, overlying or approaching the internal os, and is commonly classified into four categories: low-lying placenta, marginal placenta, partial placenta, and total placenta previa [1,9]. However, this classification does not consider whether the placental edge is parenchyma or marginal sinus. Therefore, our study classified marginal placenta previa as a new category and examined its frequencies and clinical significances within different scenarios. Notably, 12.9% of cases in this study had marginal sinus placenta previa; thus, it was important to examine the characteristics of marginal sinus placenta previa.

Previous reports have indicated that the frequency of antenatal bleeding in cases with placenta previa is 42.3%–90.0% [11,12], constituting a risk factor that can present during emergency cesarean section [13]. In this study, the cases with marginal sinus placenta previa less frequently demonstrated antenatal bleeding compared with the group with partial and total placenta previa, which might have induced the lower frequency of emergency cesarean sections seen. Hence, there were no statistical significances observed with respect to the number of cases of antenatal bleeding and tocolytic agent use between the groups with marginal sinus placenta previa and the group with low-lying and marginal placenta. Nevertheless, more patients in the group with low-lying and marginal placenta previa underwent caesarean section. These results show that the group with low-lying and marginal placenta previa might have a potential risk for undergoing preterm labor, for reasons other than massive antenatal bleeding and uncontrollable preterm labor.

One of the important management methods for placenta previa includes not only postoperative preparation and intraoperative

measures but also hemostatic procedures (e.g., balloon tamponade, uterine artery embolization, total abdominal hysterectomy) and allogenic blood transfusion for postoperative bleeding [2,14,15]. In this study, there were fewer patients in the group with marginal sinus placenta previa who received allogenic blood transfusion and uterine embolization compared with those in the group with partial and marginal placenta previa. However, with respect to these factors, there were no statistical significances between the group with marginal sinus placenta previa and the group with low-lying and marginal placenta previa. Thus, the group with marginal sinus placenta previa displayed a milder level of postoperative bleeding than the group with partial and total placenta previa.

The limitations of this study were that it was a retrospective study and a single-institutional analysis, and included only a small number of patients. Nevertheless, our study demonstrated that marginal sinus placenta previa is a mild type of placenta previa. Further prospective study is necessary to evaluate the clinical significance of marginal sinus placenta previa, especially using a larger number of participants.

In conclusion, marginal sinus placenta previa demonstrated a milder clinical significance than traditional types of placenta previa. Future study should explore the best management techniques for marginal sinus placenta previa.

#### Conflicts of interest statement and funding/support statement

None.

#### Acknowledgments

None.

#### References

- [1] Cunningham F. Gary. Williams obstetrics. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2014.
- [2] Ohkuchi A, Onagawa T, Usui R, Koike T, Hiratsuka M, Izumi A, et al. Effect of maternal age on blood loss during parturition: a retrospective multivariate analysis of 10,053 cases. *J Perinat Med* 2003;31:209–15.
- [3] Ghourab S. Third-trimester transvaginal ultrasonography in placenta previa: does the shape of the lower placental edge predict clinical outcome? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2001;18:103–8.
- [4] Hertzberg BS, Bowie JD, Carroll BA, Kliewer MA, Weber TM. Diagnosis of placenta previa during the third trimester: role of transperineal sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1992;159:83–7.
- [5] Bahar A, Abusham A, Eskandar M, Sobande A, Alsunaidi M. Risk factors and pregnancy outcome in different types of placenta previa. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2009;31:126–31.
- [6] Taga A, Sato Y, Sakae C, Satake Y, Emoto I, Maruyama S, et al. Planned vaginal delivery versus planned cesarean delivery in cases of low-lying placenta. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2017;30:618–22.
- [7] Woodward PJ, Kennedy A, Sohaey R, Byrne J, Oh K, Puchalski M. Diagnostic imaging – obstetrics. Salt Lake City: Amirsys; 2005.
- [8] Minakami H, Maeda T, Fujii T, Hamada H, Iitsuka Y, Itakura A, et al. Guidelines for obstetrical practice in Japan: Japan society of obstetrics and gynecology (JSOG) and Japan association of obstetricians and gynecologists (JAOG) 2014 edition. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2014;40:1469–99.
- [9] Oyelese Y, Smulian JC. Placenta previa, placenta accreta, and vasa previa. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;107:927–41.
- [10] Mansour SM, Elkhayat WM. Placenta previa-accreta. Do we need MR imaging? *Egypt Nucl Med* 2011;42:433–43.
- [11] Kollmann M, Gaulhofer J, Lang U, Klaritsch P. Placenta praevia: incidence, risk factors and outcome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;29:1395–8.
- [12] Robinson BK, Grobman WA. Effectiveness of timing strategies for delivery of individuals with placenta previa and accreta. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;116:835–42.
- [13] Ruiter L, Eschbach SJ, Burgers M, Rengerink KO, van Pampus MG, Goes BY, et al. Predictors for emergency cesarean delivery in women with placenta previa. *Am J Perinatol* 2016;33:1407–14.
- [14] Ananth CV, Smulian JC, Vintzileos AM. The effect of placenta previa on neonatal mortality: a population-based study in the United States, 1989 through 1997. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003;188:1299–304.
- [15] Sekiguchi A, Nakai A, Kawabata I, Hayashi M, Takeshita T. Type and location of placenta previa affect preterm delivery risk related to antepartum hemorrhage. *Int J Med Sci* 2013;10:1683–8.